### Principles for Responsible Investment

### PRIVATE RI REPORT

**2021 PILOT** 

**IVO** Capital Partners

Generated 2022-08-18

# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities.

This **Private RI Report** is an export of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It shows your responses to all completed indicators, even those you chose to keep private. It is designed for your internal review or – if you wish – to share with your stakeholders. The **Private RI Report** supports dialogue within your organisation, as well as with your clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders if you chose to share it externally.

You will also receive a **Public RI Report**, which only includes responses to mandatory indicators and responses to voluntary indicators that you agreed to make public. Unlike this **Private RI Report**, the **Public RI Report** will be publicly available on the PRI website. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

# Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool. We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

## Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	27
Listed Equity (LE)	59
Fixed Income (FI)	67

# Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

## Senior leadership statement

#### Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

• Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?

• What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?

### • What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

At IVO, we asked ourselves three questions about how to integrate ESG into our management processes: i) how to take into account the specificities linked to the great heterogeneity of emerging markets ii) how to reconcile environmental and social issues iii) how to deploy an ESG management process consistent with one of the main prerogatives of the asset manager: the prospective vision. In the same way that, to create value, we work to anticipate a company's financial trajectory over the next few years, we must be able to invest in ESG trajectories for which we see positive developments and therefore a likely decrease in their cost of capital. We must go beyond normative exclusion, because it is by taking into account the realities of the countries in which our companies operate that we encourage a consistent positive change dynamic. Moreover, we are convinced that a global approach to valuing positive ESG trajectories will become more widespread in the coming years.

In the same way, our companies are not exposed to the same extra-financial risks that developed country visions may imply. We need to adopt analytical reflexes specific to emerging ESG management. Thus, in certain sectors, we pay particular attention to the presence of anti-corruption mechanisms. In other sectors, we analyze in detail the management of relations with local communities, because the associated incidents can have a material impact on company operations. The discussions we have initiated as part of our engagement policy confirm this observation: sometimes local regulations and infrastructures are the first obstacle to implementing an ambitious energy transition policy. Engaging with these companies enables us to set a level of requirement that is relevant and compatible with the ability of a company in a specific sector in a specific country.

We wish to apply and promote an approach to ESG management that reconciles the objectives of sustainable development and the objectives of our investors. We therefore apply to all our bond funds a responsible investment policy based on 3 pillars: exclusion, integration and engagement.

For us, integration is the central lever of this SRI policy: we are aware that the credit risk profile is no longer the main factor and that ESG risk also influences the cost of capital. This has led us to develop expertise in ESG risk assessment, in order to identify which financial risks are associated with non-financial issues. We also adjust the rate of return we demand from a company if the risk associated with managing its material ESG issues is high: we thus ensure that our clients receive adequate compensation for the ESG risk we tolerate within our portfolios.

#### Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
  - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - $\circ$   $\:$  stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
  - collaborative engagements
  - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Over the year 2020, IVO Capital Partners decided to strengthen its responsible investment policy and processes. We thus recruited an ESG analyst fully dedicated to the implementation of the new responsible investment policy and the integration of non-financial criteria into the management process. We wrote and published our new responsible investment policy on our website, presenting our new approach for exclusion, ESG integration and engagement. We also defined and published a new engagement policy. We contracted Sustainalytics to provide ESG risk ratings, controversies research and engagement services. We also contracted Trucost for carbon & environmental fooprint / 2°C alignment reporting on our portfolios. Over the past year, we started going over our portfolio companies and implementing our ESG analysis methodology, so as to issue an ESG analysis for all of our bonds. We implemented a process dedicated to ensure the systematic integration of ESG analysis simultaneously to our financial analyses, the conclusions of which are binding and taken into account during the investment committee. In parallel, we adapted our compliance process to ensure a two-tiered control system of the respect of the extra-financial analysis process and its constraints.

We joined several SRI promotion initiatives: the International Integrated Reporting Initiative, the TCFD supporters list and Climate Action 100+. We started engaging with 13 companies through Sustainalytics and joined 4 engagement coalitions within the Climate Action 100+ initiative (Vale, Sasol, Suzano, AES).

We also implemented a Best-in-Class approach on our IVO Short Duration fund: in addition to our exclusion and integration policies, we exclude issuers whose ESG quality is worse than 80% of their industry peers (data provided by Sustainalytics). In February 2021, we obtained the French SRI label for this ESG-oriented fund.

We committed to publish several ESG reports in line with our transparency approach. At the level of the asset management company, we now publish on our website our Principles for Responsible Investment report; the associated UNPRI evaluation report; an annual ESG report; and an annual report on the carbon and environmental footprint of our portfolios, with data provided by Trucost, in line with the recommendations of the TCFD. At the level of the IVO Fixed Income Short Duration fund, we publish a Transparency report compliant with the Code issued by the French Association of Financial Management the Responsible Investment Forum and Eurosif. In addition, we publish an annual impact report measuring the global ESG performance of the portfolio compared to a reference index, and the portfolio environmental, social, governance and human rights performance. More recently, we adapted our precontractual documentation and our website to comply with the new requirements of the EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation. We now disclose how we integrate sustainability risks in our remuneration policy and how we take into account principal adverse impacts on sustainable factors across our portfolio. We also decided to classify our fixed income funds as follows: IVO Fixed Income and IVO Global Opportunities are classified as Article 8, AMF Category 2 funds; while IVO Short Duration is classified as an Article 8, AMF Category 1 fund.

#### Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

## What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Over the next two years, we outlined the following priorities to advance our commitment to responsible investment. First, we want to ensure the full application of our responsible investment policy: our goal is to maintain a minimum coverage of 75% internal ESG analysis on our Fixed Income and Global Opportunities funds, and raise this minimum coverage to 90% for our Short Duration fund. Our goal is to entirely integrate extra-financial risks into the investment analysis and decision process. We also wish to maintain our SRI label on the Short Duration fund, ensure compliance with the evolving extra-financial regulations, and continue engaging with our portfolio companies on their management extra-financial material risks.

#### Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Michael Israel
Position	Managing Partner
Organisation's name	IVO Capital Partners

• This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by IVO Capital Partners in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of IVO Capital Partners's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

# Organisational Overview (OO)

# Organisational information

### Categorisation

OO 1 CORE Signatory Multiple, see guidance PUBLIC Categorisation GENERAL	Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
	00 1	CORE			PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

(O) Fund management	(1) This is our only (or primary) type
---------------------	--

### Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

◦ (A) Yes ● (B) No

### Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

# Assets under management

#### All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	00 4.1, 00 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 1,088,652,185.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

### Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

	Percentage of AUM
(A) Listed equity – internal	0.2%
(B) Listed equity – external	2.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	65.9%
(D) Fixed income – external	6.3%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0.0%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0.0%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%

#### Percentage of AUM

(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	
Structured loans related to litigation financing Management mandates	25.6%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Segregated mandate(s)	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	100.0%	100.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	00 5, 00 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

#### Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

	(A) Internal allocation	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Passive equity	0.0%	0.0%
(2) Active – quantitative	0.0%	0.0%
(3) Active – fundamental	100.0%	100.0%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%	0.0%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

#### Provide a further breakdown of your fixed income assets.

	(A) Internal allocation	(C) External allocation – pooled
(1) Passive – SSA	0.0%	0.0%
(2) Passive – corporate	0.0%	0.0%
(3) Passive – securitised	0.0%	0.0%
(4) Active – SSA	1.9%	0.0%

(5) Active – corporate	97.66%	100.0%
(6) Active – securitised	0.0%	0.0%
(7) Private debt	0.44%	0.0%

# ESG strategies

### Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PRIVATE	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed	active listed equity:
--	-----------------------

(A) Screening alone	100.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	0.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PRIVATE	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

#### Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	100.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best- in-class and negative screening	0.0%

### Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 FI	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate
(A) Screening alone	100.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	0.0%	100.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%

(F) Screening	and thematic	0.0%		0.0%		
(G) All three s	strategies combined	0.0%		0.0%		
(H) None		0.0%		0.0%		
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 FI	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PRIVATE	Fixed income	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	100.0%	95.6%
(C) A combination of positive/best- in-class and negative screening	0.0%	4.4%

### Externally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 SAM	CORE	OO 5.2 LE, OO 5.2 FI	OO 6.1 SAM	PRIVATE	Externally managed assets	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies apply to your externally managed active listed equity and fixed income?

	(1) Listed equity - external	(3) Fixed income – corporate - external
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%

(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	0.0%	0.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	0.0%
(H) None	100.0%	100.0%

## Externally managed assets

### Captive relationships

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Captive relationships	GENERAL

Does your organisation have a captive relationship with some or all of its external investment managers?

(A) Yes
 ● (B) No

Investment consultants

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	OO 5	SAM 1	PUBLIC	Investment consultants	GENERAL

Does your organisation engage investment consultants in the selection, appointment or monitoring of your external investment managers?

● (A) Yes ◦ (B) No

## Stewardship

### Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active
(A) Through service providers		
(B) Through external managers		
(C) Through internal staff		
(D) Collaboratively		
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity		

### Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

#### Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	(4) Active $-$ SSA	(5) Active – corporate	(7) Private debt
(A) Through service providers		V	
(B) Through external managers			
(C) Through internal staff			
(D) Collaboratively			
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type	V		

# ESG incorporation

### Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	۲	o
(F) Fixed income – SSA	۲	O
(G) Fixed income – corporate	۲	o
(I) Fixed income – private debt	0	۲
(W) Other [as specified]	0	۲

#### External manager selection

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into external manager selection. Your response should refer to the selection of the external managers who managed the relevant asset classes during the reporting year, regardless of when such selection took place.

	(1) ESG incorporated into external manager selection	(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager selection
(B) Listed equity – active	0	۲
(D) Fixed income – active	0	۲

### External manager appointment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

The following externally managed asset classes are reported in OO 5.1 as 100% pooled funds or pooled investments and, therefore, ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable.

(3) ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable as we only invest in pooled funds

(B) Listed equity – active	۲
(D) Fixed income – active	۲

### External manager monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporated ESG into external manager monitoring during the reporting year.

(1) ESG incorporated into external manager monitoring		(2) ESG not incorporated into external manager monitoring
(B) Listed equity – active	0	۲
(D) Fixed income – active	0	۲

## Voluntary reporting

#### Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.

	(1) Yes, report on the module	(2) No, opt out of reporting on the module	
(A) Listed equity	۲	0	
(B) Fixed income – SSA	۲	0	

The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

	(1) Yes, report on the module		
ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy	۲		
(C) Fixed income – corporate	۲		

#### Pooled funds governance: Appointment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 15	CORE	00 5.1	SAM 12	PUBLIC	Pooled funds governance: Appointment	GENERAL

Would you like to voluntarily report on ESG incorporation in the appointment of your external managers for pooled funds?

○ (A) Yes
● (B) No

# ESG/sustainability funds and products

#### Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

		Percentage				
(B) Listed equ	uity – active	0.0%				
(D) Fixed inco	ome – active	4.44%				
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle

mulcator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Galeway to	Disclosure	Subsection	r ni r i iicipie
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PRIVATE	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

	Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:
(B) Fixed income	4.44%

## Climate investments

#### Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0.0%

## Other asset breakdowns

### Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PRIVATE	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income – SSA	(3) Fixed income – corporate	(5) Fixed income – private debt
(A) Developed	1.51%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%
(B) Emerging	98.49%	100.0%	70.0%	100.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

### Management by PRI signatories

Indicator	Type of indicator	$\begin{array}{c} { m Dependent} \\ { m on} \end{array}$	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What approximate percentage (+/-5%) of your externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

100.0%

#### Fixed income constraints

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	OO 20.1	PRIVATE	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

What percentage of your fixed income assets are subject to constraints? The constraints may be regulatory requirements, credit quality restrictions, currency constraints or similar.

#### Internal and external fixed income assets subject to constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA	100.0%
(B) Fixed income – corporate	100.0%
(D) Fixed income – private debt	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20.1	CORE	OO 20	N/A	PRIVATE	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

Describe the constraints to your fixed income assets.

	Fixed income constraints
(A) Fixed income – SSA	Currency constraints, we only invest in hard currency.
(B) Fixed income – corporate	Currency constraints, we only invest in hard currency.

## Context and explanation

### Appointment: Pooled funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 33	CORE	OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment: Pooled funds	GENERAL

For your externally managed pooled funds, please describe any other mechanisms in place to set expectations as part of the appointment or commitment process.

We review the responsible investment policy of the external manager we wish to appoint and make sure that they at least apply a minimum exclusion policy in line with international norms.

### ESG not incorporated

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 35	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1, 2

Describe why you currently do not incorporate ESG into your assets and/or why you currently do not conduct stewardship.

	Description
(B) Internally managed: Listed equity – active	Considering the low holdings, the company does not exercise the voting rights attached to the securities held by the UCITS it manages.
(C) Internally managed: Fixed income – SSA	Engagement at a sovereign level requires a scale that we do not have at this stage.
(F) Internally managed: Fixed income – private debt	As the very specific characteristics of private debt require a dedicated expertise to conduct ESG analysis, we do not apply yet ESG criteria to this asset class.

(M) Internally managed: Other	As the very specific characteristics of litigation finance require a dedicated expertise to conduct ESG analysis, we do not apply yet ESG criteria to this asset class.				
(O) Externally managed: Listed equity – active	Given the low levels of direct ownership of equity securities by our bond funds, we do not exercise the voting rights attached to these securities.				
(Q) Externally managed: Fixed income – active	ESG incorporation into external manager appointment is not applicable as we only invest in pooled funds.				

## Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

## Responsible investment policy & governance

#### Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

(A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

 $\circ$  (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- $\square$  (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- $\square$  (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship
- $\Box$  (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- $\square$  (G) Approach to exclusions
- I (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- $\blacksquare$  (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- $\square$  (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- $\Box$  (K) Responsible investment governance structure
- $\Box$  (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment
- $\square$  (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- $\square$  (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- $\square$  (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator						PRI Principle
ISP 1.2	PLUS	ISP 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What mechanisms do you have in place to ensure that your policies are implemented in an aligned and consistent way across the organisation?

To ensure the proper application of our responsible investment policy, we set up a two-tier control system. A first level control is applied by the management team: pre-trade, the manager ensures that the companies discussed with the investment committee belong to the eligible investment universe. The portfolio manager applies an additional screening on the Short Duration fund by ensuring that the security meets the 80% ESG percentile criterion. Before placing a purchase order, the manager consults the ESG analyst who preapproves the company under study or not, depending on the result of her preliminary analysis. This pre-approval aims at ensuring that the studied companies are compliant with our exclusion policies, have good governance practices, and have not been involved in significant extra-financial controversies. The ESG analyst also controls the portfolio composition monthly and tracks the evolution of the ratings provided by Sustainalytics as well as the compliance with the exclusion list. If securities are not compliant with our Best-inclass policy, the management team is granted a 3 months grace period to sell. Regarding the exclusion policy, we also grant a 3 months grace period for portfolio companies to become compliant before withdrawing our investment (maximum 5% of the portfolio). This rule does not apply to the "controversial weapons" exclusion category. The risk committee also reviews the portfolio every 3 months. On this occasion, the committee ensures a second-tier control on the compliance of the portfolios' management with the ESG policy (every purchase order of a new issuer must have been pre-approved) and controls the respect of the quantitative rules on the Short Duration fund. The risk committee also guarantees the compliance of the fund presentation documents with the AMF doctrine. As part of the annual control plan, the delegate internal control and compliance officer, AGAMA Conseil, ensures that : - The ESG/SRI investment selection procedure is properly followed - The human and technical resources put in place are sufficient - The compliance and risk control system is robust - The ESG methodology for selecting and monitoring investments is applied - The transparency code is up to date and applied - The shareholder engagement and voting rights policy is up to date - The "Article 173" report is published

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):
   http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s):
   http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s): http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s):
   http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):
   http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Engagement-Policy.pdf
- ☑ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s): http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- ✓ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s): http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty. Add link(s):

http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf

- ✓ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s): http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf
- (M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s): http://ivocapital.com/responsible-investing-esg/
- $\Box$  (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

### What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- $\circ$  (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors

#### AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

65.8%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

#### Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

(A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)

 $\square$  (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)

 $\square$  (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

	AUM Coverage:
(A) Listed Equity	100.0%
(B) Fixed Income	100.0%

#### Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

## Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- $\square$  (A) Board and/or trustees
- Z (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☑ (C) Investment committee
- $\Box$  (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
- $\square$  (E) Head of department, please specify department:
- Head of ESG Analysis

 $\Box$  (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

#### In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- $\Box$  (A) Board and/or trustees
- □ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- $\Box$  (C) Investment committee
- $\Box$  (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- $\square$  (E) Head of department [as specified]
- $\square$  (F) Portfolio managers
- $\boxdot$  (G) Investment analysts
- $\hfill\square$  (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- $\Box$  (I) Investor relations
- $\square$  (J) External managers or service providers
- $\square$  (K) Other role, please specify:
- $\square$  (L) Other role, please specify:
- $\square$  (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

#### People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	${ m Dependent}$ on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(2) Chief- level staff	(3) Investment committee	(5) Head of department [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts	(10) External managers or service providers
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	Z					
<ul><li>(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach</li></ul>						

(10)

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)			
(D) Objective for ESG performance			
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]			
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]			
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role			

#### Please specify for "(E) Other objective related to responsible investment".

Maintaining the SRI-label for SRI-labelled funds.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.1	PLUS	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

#### Describe the key responsible investment performance indicators (KPIs) or benchmarks that your organisation uses to compare and assess the performance of your professionals in relation to their responsible investment objectives.

We track the following KPIs : - Global ESG performance of our funds (absolute ESG rating, industry relative ESG percentile) // Compared to the global ESG performance of the fund's benchmark index - Carbon footprint, environmental footprint, and  $2^{\circ}$ C Alignment of our funds // Compared to the fund's benchmark index - Number of companies we engage with, number of engagement meetings attended by the Head of ESG Analysis - Grade obtained on the UNPRI Assessment - Achievement of the French SRI Label for the IVO Fixed Income Short Duration fund, and compliance with the label requirements over the 3-year duration of the label - Adequate application of the Responsible Investment Policy, including the effective pre-approval of purchase orders by the ESG Analyst

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

#### (2) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(3) Investment committee	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(5) Head of department	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option E)	
(6) Portfolio managers	
(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option E)	

#### (7) Investment analysts

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(10) External managers or service providers	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

## How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

 $\circ$  (A) Quarterly or more frequently

 $\circ$  (B) Bi-annually

 $\circ$  (C) Annually

 $\circ$  (D) Less frequently than annually

• (E) On an ad hoc basis

 $\circ$  (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

#### Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

#### Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

 $\Box$  (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes

- $\square$  (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for
- expected risks and returns of asset classes

 $\Box$  (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation

☑ (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

# Stewardship

#### Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PRIVATE	Stewardship policy	2

#### What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity	100.0%
(B) Fixed income	97.66%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

## Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

☑ (A) Key stewardship objectives

(B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets

□ (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)

 $\Box$  (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities

 $\square$  (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)

□ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)

🗹 (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)

 $\square$  (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship

 $\Box$  (I) Escalation strategies

 $\Box$  (J) Conflicts of interest

 $\Box$  (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled

 $\Box$  (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decisionmaking and vice versa

 $\square$  (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

#### Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

 $\circ$  (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions

• (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)

 $\circ$  (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional

• (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

## Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	۲	۲
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	0	o
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	0	o
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	ο	o

### Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property		
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance	<b>I</b>	
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)	<b>I</b>	
(D) The ESG rating of the entity		
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance		
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients		
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries		
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:		
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets		

### Stewardship methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 17	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship methods	2

Please rank the methods that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives. Ranking options: 1 = most important, 5 = least important.

(A) Internal resources (e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team or staff)	3
(B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers (if applicable)	1
(C) External paid services or initiatives other than investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers (paid beyond a membership fee)	We do not use this method
(D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with peers	We do not use this method
(E) Formal collaborative engagements (e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, the Initiative Climat International (iCI) or similar)	2

### Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

 $\odot$  (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts

 $\circ$  (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool

• (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation

 $\circ$  (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis

• (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18.1	PLUS	ISP 18	N/A	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

#### Describe your position on collaborating for stewardship.

Today, our « boutique » asset management status and our intermediate size allow us to be flexible and promptly adapt our investment policy. However, despite our ability to react quickly and frequently meet with the management, as we invest in debt and not shares, we have less leverage to prompt companies to change than shareholders. Moreover, emerging markets are not very mature regarding ESG issues compared to developed countries. All these reasons led us to decide to engage collaboratively through the ClimateAction100+ initiative and to work with an external service provider, Sustainalytics, to help us increase our impact and support us in our engagement policy.

### Escalation strategies

Indicator						PRI Principle
ISP 19	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

Which of these measures did your organisation, or the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, use most frequently when escalating initial stewardship approaches that were deemed unsuccessful?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors		
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		
<ul><li>(C) Publicly engaging the entity</li><li>(e.g. open letter)</li></ul>		
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors		
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors		

(F) Voting against the annual financial report	
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	
(H) We did not use any escalation measures during the reporting year. Please explain why below	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

# If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors		
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		
<ul><li>(C) Publicly engaging the entity</li><li>(e.g. open letter)</li></ul>		
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors		
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors		
(F) Voting against the annual financial report		
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy		

## Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

 $\Box$  (A) We engage with policymakers directly

 $\square$  (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers  $\square$  (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

# Climate change

### Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

 $\bullet$  (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Engagement-Policy.pdf~We~publicly~support~the~Paris~Agreement~through~the~sign-on~statement~of~the~Climate~Action~100+~initiative.

• (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

● (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

• (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

### Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

### How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

 $\Box$  (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

 $\Box$  (B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

 $\square$  (C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:

 $\square$  (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:

 $\square$  (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:

 $\Box$  (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:

 $\square$  (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

(H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

#### What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

 $\Box$  (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

 $\square$  (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:

□ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

 $\Box$  (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and

monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

 $\square$  (E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:

G (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

### Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

### Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

☑ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

Physical risks : - Pollution risks, - Transition risks related to energy regulations, - Risks related to the degradation of water quality -Risks related to asbestos, - Flooding risks, - Risks of rising water levels, - Risks of accelerated loss of biodiversity Transition risks : -Transition risks related to energy or climate change regulations Responsability risks: - Risks related to activities with a risk of litigation

- $\square$  (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
- '- Fossil fuels Petrochemicals Mining companies Industrial groups Pulp & paper Agriculture

 $\Box$  (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

 $\Box$  (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:
 '- Renewables energies - Biofuels

☑ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
 '- Renewable energies - Biofuels

 $\square$  (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:

□ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

# For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]				
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]				J
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]				
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]				
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–3	0 years	(7) >30 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	V		]	
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]		∠	]	
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	V		]	<b>I</b>

(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

#### Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

 $\Box$  (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

 $\square$  (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

 $\Box$  (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

 $\square$  (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

 $\Box$  (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

 $\square$  (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

 $\square$  (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

🗹 (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 32	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

## Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on your organization's investment strategy, products (where relevant) and financial planning.

IVO Capital Partners integrates climate change risk and opportunity management into its pre-investment analysis and portfolio monitoring. Climate change criteria have a direct impact on the ESG risk rating provided by Sustainalytics and on our internal analysis. In particular, we pay increased attention to the environmental indicators mentioned in the previous question, provided by Sustainalytics and Trucost: carbon - company-specific operations, emissions, effluents and waste, resource use, carbon footprint of our fund, environmental footprint of our fund, 2-degree analysis. Our ESG analysis systematically takes into account the physical risks to which the issuer's activities are exposed. We use databases like ThinkHazard to identify the most severe physical risks in the company operations areas and how climate 9 change affects these risks. We also pay close attention to extreme weather events, changes in the availability and price of natural resources and how companies integrate these elements into their strategy. We also analyze the Environmental Management Systems of issuers and the consistency of their capital expenditure with a low carbon strategy. The ESG team examines the issuer's exposure to transition risks and its exploitation of the opportunities associated with the transition to a low-carbon economy. We value players that are innovative and adaptive in addressing the climate issues of their industry. We are convinced that the costs and risks related to climate change can directly impact a company's performance and credit quality. Our ESG team also provides internal research papers on risks and opportunities related to ESG considerations and climate change. This research is complemented by the broker notes to which we subscribe, ESG research from rating agencies, our regular interviews with companies and our participation in conferences and events on the subject.

### Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

## Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

 $\Box$  (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario

 $\square$  (B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response

 $\Box$  (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario

 $\Box$  (D) Other climate scenario, specify:

(E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

### Risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 34	PLUS	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Risk management	General

Which risk management processes do you have in place to identify and assess climate-related risks?

- $\Box$  (A) Internal carbon pricing. Describe:
- $\square$  (B) Hot spot analysis. Describe:
- $\Box$  (C) Sensitivity analysis. Describe:
- $\Box$  (D) TCFD reporting requirements on external investment managers where we have externally managed assets. Describe:
- $\Box$  (E) TCFD reporting requirements on companies. Describe:
- ☑ (F) Other risk management processes in place, please describe:

IVO Capital Partners integrates climate change risk and opportunity management into its pre-investment analysis and portfolio monitoring. Climate change criteria have a direct impact on the ESG risk rating provided by Sustainalytics and on our internal analysis. In particular, we pay increased attention to the environmental indicators mentioned in the previous question, provided by Sustainalytics and Trucost: carbon - company-specific operations, emissions, effluents and waste, resource use, carbon footprint of our fund, environmental footprint of our fund, 2-degree analysis. Our ESG analysis systematically takes into account the physical risks to which the issuer's activities are exposed. We use databases like ThinkHazard to identify the most severe physical risks in the company operations areas and how climate 9 change affects these risks. We also pay close attention to extreme weather events, changes in the availability and price of natural resources and how companies integrate these elements into their strategy. We also analyze the Environmental Management Systems of issuers and the consistency of their capital expenditure with a low carbon strategy. The ESG team examines the issuer's exposure to transition risks and its exploitation of the opportunities associated with the transition to a lowcarbon economy. We value players that are innovative and adaptive in addressing the climate issues of their industry. We are convinced that the costs and risks related to climate change can directly impact a company's performance and credit quality. Our ESG team also provides internal research papers on risks and opportunities related to ESG considerations and climate change. This research is complemented by the broker notes to which we subscribe, ESG research from rating agencies, our regular interviews with companies and our participation in conferences and events on the subject.

 $\Box$  (G) We do not have any risk management processes in place to identify and assess climate-related risks

Indicator						PRI Principle
ISP 35	PLUS	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Risk management	General

#### In which investment processes do you track and manage climate-related risks?

## $\square$ (A) In our engagements with investee entities, and/or in engagements conducted on our behalf by service providers and/or external managers. Describe:

We track and manage climate risks through our engagement with Climate Action 100+. Climate Action 100+ aims to ensure the world's largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters take necessary action to fight climate change. Among these companies are 100 'systemically important emitters', accounting for two-thirds of annual global industrial emissions, alongside more than 60 others with significant opportunity to drive the clean energy transition. Climate Action 100+ gathers investors to ask companies to strengthen their governance on climate change, reduce their greenhouse gas emissions and reinforce their disclosure of climate-related financial information. Investors who join the Climate Action 100+ initiative as participants commit to participating in at least one engagement group with one of the companies on the list each year. IVO Capital Partners is an active member of four investor coalitions that engage with the following companies: Sasol, Vale SA, AES and Suzano.

We do not exclude the possibility of joining other coalitions engaging with companies in our portfolios in order to increase our impact. Alongside the 450 investors of the initiative accounting for a total asset under management of \$40 trillion, IVO Capital Partners supports the following sign-on statement: "We, the investors that are signatories to this statement, are aware of the risks climate change presents to our portfolios and asset values in the short, medium and long term. We therefore support the Paris Agreement and the need for the world to transition to a lower carbon economy consistent with a goal of keeping the increase in global average temperature to well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels. Through this initiative, we aim to fulfill the commitment made in the "2014/15 Global Investor Statement on Climate Change" which stated that "...as investors and consistent with our fiduciary duty to our beneficiaries, we will work with the companies in which we invest to ensure that they are minimising and disclosing the risks and maximising the opportunities presented by climate change." We believe that engaging and working with the companies in which we invest - to communicate the need for greater disclosure around climate change risk and company strategies aligned with the Paris Agreement - is consistent with our fiduciary duty and will contribute to achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement. The initiative aims to secure commitments from the boards and senior management to: • Implement a strong governance framework which clearly articulates the board's accountability and oversight of climate change risk and opportunities. • Take action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions across their value chain, consistent with the Paris Agreement's goal of limiting global average temperature increase to well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels. • Provide enhanced corporate disclosure in line with the final recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) and, when applicable, sector-specific Global Investor Coalition on Climate Change Investor Expectations on Climate Change to enable investors to assess the robustness of companies' business plans against a range of climate scenarios, including well below 2 degrees Celsius, and improve investment decisionmaking.

- $\square$  (E) In the asset class benchmark selection process. Describe:
- $\Box$  (F) In our financial analysis process. Describe:
- $\square$  (G) Other investment process(es). Describe:

IVO Capital Partners integrates climate change risk and opportunity management into its pre-investment analysis and portfolio monitoring. Climate change criteria have a direct impact on the ESG risk rating provided by Sustainalytics and on our internal analysis. In particular, we pay increased attention to the environmental indicators mentioned in the previous question, provided by Sustainalytics and Trucost: carbon - company-specific operations, emissions, effluents and waste, resource use, carbon footprint of our fund, environmental footprint of our fund, 2-degree analysis.

Our ESG analysis systematically takes into account the physical risks to which the issuer's activities are exposed. We use databases like ThinkHazard to identify the most severe physical risks in the company operations areas and how climate change affects these risks. We also pay close attention to extreme weather events, changes in the availability and price of natural resources and how companies integrate these elements into their strategy. We also analyze the Environmental Management Systems of issuers and the consistency of their capital expenditure with a low carbon strategy. The ESG team examines the issuer's exposure to transition risks and its exploitation of the opportunities associated with the transition to a low-carbon economy. We value players that are innovative and adaptive in addressing the climate issues of their industry. We are convinced that the costs and risks related to climate change can directly impact a company's performance and credit quality.

Our ESG team also provides internal research papers on risks and opportunities related to ESG considerations and climate change. This research is complemented by the broker notes to which we subscribe, ESG research from rating agencies, our regular interviews with companies and our participation in conferences and events on the subject.

 $\Box$  (H) We are not tracking and managing climate-related risks in specific investment processes

Indicator						PRI Principle
ISP 36	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Risk management	General

# How are the processes for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks incorporated into your organisation's overall risk management?

 $\Box$  (A) The risk committee or the equivalent function is formally responsible for identifying, assessing and managing climate risks. Describe:

 $\Box$  (B) Climate risks are incorporated into traditional risks (e.g. credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk or operational risk). Describe:

 $\square$  (C) Climate risks are prioritised based on their relative materiality, as defined by our organisation's materiality analysis. Describe:

 $\Box$  (D) Executive remuneration is linked to climate-related KPIs. Describe:

 $\Box$  (E) Management remuneration is linked to climate-related KPIs. Describe:

 $\Box$  (F) Climate risks are included in the enterprise risk management system. Describe:

 $\Box$  (G) Other methods for incorporating climate risks into overall risk management, please describe:

(H) Processes for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks are not integrated into our overall risk management

### Metrics and targets

Indicator						PRI Principle
ISP 37	PLUS	N/A	ISP 37.1	PUBLIC	Metrics and targets	General

### Have you set any organisation-wide targets on climate change?

- $\Box$  (A) Reducing carbon intensity of portfolios
- $\square$  (B) Reducing exposure to assets with significant climate transition risks
- □ (C) Investing in low-carbon, energy-efficient climate adaptation opportunities in different asset classes
- $\Box$  (D) Aligning entire group-wide portfolio with net zero
- $\Box$  (E) Other target, please specify:
- $\square$  (F) No, we have not set any climate-related targets

### Metrics and targets: Transition risk

Indicator						PRI Principle
ISP 38	PLUS	N/A	ISP 38.1	PUBLIC	Metrics and targets: Transition risk	General

#### What climate-related metric(s) has your organisation identified for transition risk monitoring and management?

 $\Box$  (A) Total carbon emissions

- $\square$  (B) Carbon footprint
- $\Box$  (C) Carbon intensity
- $\Box$  (D) Weighted average carbon intensity
- $\square$  (E) Implied temperature warming
- $\Box$  (F) Percentage of assets aligned with the EU Taxonomy (or similar taxonomy)
- $\Box$  (G) Avoided emissions metrics (real assets)
- $\Box$  (H) Other metrics, please specify:
- I) No, we have not identified any climate-related metrics for transition risk monitoring

### Metrics and targets: Physical risk

ISP 39	PLUS	N/A	ISP 39.1	PUBLIC	Metrics and targets: Physical risk	General
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle

What climate-related metric(s) has your organisation identified for physical risk monitoring and management?

 $\Box$  (A) Weather-related operational losses for real assets or the insurance business unit

 $\Box$  (B) Proportion of our property, infrastructure or other alternative asset portfolios in an area subject to flooding, heat stress or water stress

 $\Box$  (C) Other metrics, please specify:

 $\Box$  (D) Other metrics, please specify:

(E) We have not identified any metrics for physical risk monitoring

# Sustainability outcomes

### Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	$\operatorname{Dependent}$ on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

### Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

• (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities

(B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

# What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- $\square$  (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
- □ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

 $\square$  (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

- $\Box$  (E) The EU Taxonomy
- $\Box$  (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
- $\square$  (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Sustainalytics data : - Exposure to severe environmental, social and governance-related controversies, - Exposure to controversies related to Human rights at work

#### ☑ (H) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Trucost data : carbon footprint, environmental footprint, 2°C Alignment of portfolios

 $\Box$  (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

### At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

- $\square$  (A) At the asset level
- $\Box$  (B) At the economic activity level
- $\square$  (C) At the company level
- $\Box$  (D) At the sector level
- $\square$  (E) At the country/region level
- $\Box$  (F) At the global level
- $\square$  (G) Other level(s), please specify:

At the portfolio level.

 $\Box$  (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

#### How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

 $\Box$  (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities

 $\Box$  (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities

□ (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes

 $\Box$  (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)

 $\Box$  (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)

□ (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)

 $\Box$  (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives

 $\Box$  (H) Other method, please specify:

 $\blacksquare$  (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

# Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

### Information disclosed - ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

 $\square$  (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)

 $\Box$  (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)

☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)

(D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered

☑ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds

(F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance

 $\square$  (G) Our stewardship approach

🗹 (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)

☑ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction

 $\Box$  (J) A list of our main investments and holdings

 $\square$  (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)

 $\Box$  (L)We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

### Client reporting – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 48	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – ESG assets	6

## What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets?

 $\square$  (A) Qualitative analysis, descriptive examples or case studies

☑ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance

 $\Box$  (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives

 $\square$  (D) Stewardship results

 $\square$  (E) Information on ESG incidents, where applicable

 $\square$  (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance

 $\Box$  (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

### Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

☑ (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)

 $\Box$  (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)

☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)

 $\blacksquare$  (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered

 $\Box$  (E) ESG objectives of individual funds

 $\Box$  (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance

G (G) Our stewardship approach

☑ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)

I) I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction

 $\Box$  (J) A list of our main investments and holdings

 $\square$  (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)

 $\Box$  (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

## Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

### What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

 $\blacksquare$  (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies

- ☑ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- $\Box$  (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- ☑ (D) Stewardship results
- $\square$  (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- $\square$  (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- $\square$  (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

### Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity	(3) Annually
(B) Fixed income	(3) Annually

### Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

# What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

 $\Box$  (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion

 $\square$  (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year

 $\Box$  (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report

□ (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report

Z (E) Some or all of our funds have been audited as part of the certification process against a sustainable investment/RI label

 $\Box$  (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)

 $\Box$  (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)

 $\Box$  (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making

 $\square$  (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI

 $\hfill\square$  (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

### Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

(A) Board and/or trustees	(4) report not reviewed
(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(3) parts of the report
(C) Investment committee	(4) report not reviewed

(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:<br/>Head of ESG Analysis(1) the entire report(E) Head of department, please specify:<br/>NA(4) report not reviewed(F) Compliance/risk management team(4) report not reviewed(G) Legal team(4) report not reviewed(H) RI/ ESG team(1) the entire report(I) Investment teams(3) parts of the report

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 59	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

### Which of the following ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

- $\Box$  (A) Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- $\Box$  (B) GRESB
- $\Box$  (C) Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49)
- $\Box$  (D) B Corporation
- $\Box$  (E) BREEAM
- $\Box$  (F) CBI Climate Bonds Standard
- $\square$  (G) EU Ecolabel
- $\Box$  (H) EU Green Bond Standard
- $\Box$  (I) Febelfin label (Belgium)
- □ (J) FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland)
- $\Box$  (K) Greenfin label (France)
- $\Box$  (L) ICMA Green Bond Principles
- ☑ (M) Le label ISR (French government SRI label)
- $\Box$  (N) Luxflag Climate Finance
- $\Box$  (O) Luxflag Environment
- $\Box$  (P) Luxflag ESG
- $\Box$  (Q) Luxflag Green Bond
- $\Box$  (R) Luxflag Microfinance
- $\Box$  (S) National stewardship code (e.g. UK or Japan), please specify:
- $\Box$  (T) Nordic Swan Ecolabel
- □ (U) Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic), please specify:
- $\square$  (V) People's Bank of China green bond guidelines
- $\Box$  (W) RIAA (Australia)

# Listed Equity (LE)

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
Does your org	ganisation have a formal i	nvestment process	to identify mate	rial ESG factor	s across listed equities?	
			(	3) Active – fun	damental	
	nave a formal process aterial ESG factors for ets			0		
	ave a formal process aterial ESG factors for of our assets			O		
	nave a formal process aterial ESG factors for our assets			O		
process. Our professionals	o not have a formal investment identify material ESG eir own discretion			O		
	o not have a formal entify material ESG			۲		

## Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1
Do you conti	nuously monitor a lis	t of identified long-	term ESG tren	ds related to ye	our listed equity assets?	
				(3) Active	e – fundamental	
(A) We monit trends for all	tor long-term ESG assets				0	
	tor long-term ESG e majority of assets				۲	
	tor long-term ESG minority of assets				0	
	ot continuously -term ESG trends in nt process				0	

## ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

(A) We incorporate governance-	(3) Active – fundamental	
related risks into financial modelling  and equity valuations		

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	
(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision- makers, and we do not track this process	
(E) We do not incorporate ESG risks into our financial modelling and equity valuations	

## Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	

(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation	

## ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

### How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	

(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	
<ul><li>(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below)</li></ul>	
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

#### (3) Active - fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

### ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

## What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

 $\Box$  (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our

ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening

 $\Box$  (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening

 $\square$  (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance

 $\Box$  (D) Other, please specify:

 $\Box$  (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

# Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1
Do your regular :	reviews incorporate ES	SG risks?				
				(3) Active – fu	ındamental	
(A) Our regular quantitative info material ESG ris individual listed	rmation on ks specific to					
(B) Our regular : aggregated quant on material ESG level	titative information			V		
(C) Our regular highlight fund he ratings have char	oldings where ESG					
(D) We do not correviews. Risk revi are conducted at the individual fur vary in frequency	iews of ESG factors the discretion of nd manager and					
(E) We do not co	onduct reviews					

LE 10       ORE       OO 10       N/A       PUBLIC       ESG risk management       1         Image: Seg risk management       Seg risk manage	Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
(3) Active – fundamental         (A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions       o         (B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions       o         (C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions       o         (D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents       o	LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions       o         (B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions       o         (C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions       o         (C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions       o         (D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents       o	Do you regularl	y identify and incorpor	ate ESG incidents	into the investn	nent process fo	or your listed equity assets?	
in place for regularly identifying       o         and incorporating ESG incidents       o         (B) Yes, we have a formal process       o         in place for regularly identifying       o         (B) Yes, we have a formal process       o         into the majority of our investment       o         (C) Yes, we have a formal process       o         in place for regularly identifying       o         (C) Yes, we have a formal process       o         (D) Yes, we have a formal process       o         (D) Yes, we have a formal process       o         (D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process       o         (D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process       o         (D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process       o         (D) Yes, me have an ad hoc process       o					(3) Active – fu	undamental	
in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents o into the majority of our investment decisions of the majority of our investment (C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents o into a minority of our investment decisions of the majority of	in place for regular and incorporation	larly identifying ng ESG incidents			0		
in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents o into a minority of our investment decisions (D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and o incorporating ESG incidents o	in place for regu and incorporation into the majorit	larly identifying ng ESG incidents			0		
in place for identifying and o incorporating ESG incidents	in place for regular and incorporation into a minority	larly identifying ng ESG incidents			0		
(F) Other	in place for iden	tifying and			0		
	(E) Other				0		

۲

(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making

# **Reporting/Disclosure**

## Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	۲	O	O	0
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	O	0	0	۲
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	o	o	O	۲

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

### What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

### (3) Active – fundamental

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	3) In a minority of our stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	3) In a minority of our stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	3) In a minority of our stakeholder reporting

# Fixed Income (FI)

# Pre-investment phase

### Materiality analysis

all of our assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle		
FI 1	CORE	OO 10	FI 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1		
Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors for its fixed income assets?								
(1) SSA				(2) Corpora	ate			
	ave a formal process terial ESG factors for		0		۲			

(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	0	o
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	o	o
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	0	ο
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	۲	O

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1.1	CORE	FI 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(2) Corporate
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations	

## ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 10	FI 2.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1
How are mater	rial ESG factors incorpor	ated into your po	rtfolio risk mana	gement proces	ıs?	
			(1) SSA		(2) Corpora	te
(A) Investment members, or the function/group ESG veto						
and currency a	s, sectors, countries are monitored for G exposure and for k limits					
ESG factors is portfolio const hedging adjust	posure to specific measured for our ruction, and sizing or ments are made individual issuers' hese factors					
	hod of incorporating to risk management specify below:					
	have a process to GG factors into our management					

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2.1	CORE	FI 2	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your fixed income assets are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

### (2) Corporate

(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our assets

## ESG incorporation in asset valuation

Indicator	Type of indicator	$\operatorname{Dependent}$ on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 10	FI 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

How do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability		
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer		<b>I</b>
(C) We do not incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into our fixed income asset valuation process	V	

Indicator	Type of indicator	${ m Dependent}$ on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3.1	CORE	FI 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

### In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

### (2) Corporate

(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the (1) in all cases

### Performance monitoring

						PRI Principle
FI 4	PLUS	OO 5.2 FI, OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of an ESG factor that your organisation incorporated into your fixed income valuation or portfolio construction and describe how that affected the returns of those assets.

Example:

When we implemented our new responsible investment policy, we conducted an ESG review of the positions in our portfolios most exposed to ESG risks. This review led us to divest from a Chilean company operating in the oil and natural gas sector in Latin America, Geopark.

indigenous groups' right to free, prior and informed consent, a significant proportion of Geopark's reserves and production capacity are exposed to operational risks related to the mobilization of local communities. Our ESG analysis concluded that the ESG profile of the company was likely to worsen as it dit not strengthen is ESG management, thus implying tangible reputational, operational and revenues risks, with several assets at risk of never obtaining a license to operate.

Regularly denounced for greenwashing and violation of

As a result of our internal ESG analysis, we have therefore classified Geopark in red flag. As the investment did not yield sufficiently above its rating universe, we sold the position.

### ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

(A) Example from your active management strategies:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
FI 5	CORE	OO 10	FI 5.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1	
How do ESG fa	How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?						
			(1) SS	SA	(2) Corporate		
(A) The selectic assets within ou influenced by E	ır portfolio is						
(B) The holding individual assets portfolio is influ factors	s within our						

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	
(E) Other expressions of conviction, please specify below:	
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5.1	CORE	FI 5	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

### In what proportion of cases do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(2) Corporate	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases

### ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers	1

# When assessing issuers'/borrowers' credit quality, how does your organisation incorporate material ESG risks in the majority of cases?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) In the majority of cases, we incorporate material governance- related risks	O	o
(B) In addition to incorporating governance-related risks, in the majority of cases we also incorporate material environmental and social risks	O	۲
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG risks for the majority of our credit quality assessments of issuers/borrowers	۲	o

## ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG performance	1

In the majority of cases, how do you assess the relative ESG performance of a borrower within a peer group as part of your investment process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to adjust the internal credit assessments of borrowers by modifying forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates		
(B) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to make relative sizing decisions in portfolio construction		
(C) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to screen for outliers when comparing credit spreads to ESG relative performance within a similar peer group		
(D) We consider the ESG performance of a borrower only on a standalone basis and do not compare it within peer groups of other benchmarks		
(E) We do not have an internal ESG performance assessment methodology	V	

### ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	CORE	OO 10	FI 9.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

# For your corporate fixed income, does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country and sector?

□ (A) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)

 $\square$  (B) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by sector

 $\square$  (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country/region and sector

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9.1	CORE	FI 9	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your corporate fixed income assets do you apply your framework for differentiating ESG risks by issuer country/sector?

	(1) for all of our	(2) for the majority of	(3) for a minority of our
	corporate fixed income	our corporate fixed	corporate fixed income
	assets	income assets	assets
(B) We differentiate ESG risks by sector	۲	O	0

# Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
FI 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1	
Do your regular	reviews incorporate E	SG risks?					
			(1) SSA		(2) Corporat	5e	
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual fixed income assets							
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level							
(C) Our regular highlight fund h ratings have cha	oldings where ESG						

(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency		
(E) We do not conduct reviews that incorporate ESG risks	Z	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	o	ο
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	o	Ο
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	o	Ο
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	o	۲
(E) We do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	۲	0

## Time horizons

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Time horizons	1

In the majority of cases, how does your investment process account for differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We take into account current risks		
(B) We take into account medium- term risks		
(C) We take into account long-term risks		
(D) We do not take into account differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors		

## Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets	0	0

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets	0	۲
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets	0	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	۲	0

# $\mathbf{Reporting/Disclosure}$

## ESG screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 21	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG screens	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

<ul> <li>(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to list of ESG screens:</li> <li>http://ivocapital.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf</li> </ul>	(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens	
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to ESG screen changes:	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens	
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens	

# Engagement

## Engaging with issuers/borrowers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 22	CORE	OO 9 FI	FI 22.1	PUBLIC	Engaging with issuers/borrowers	2

At which stages does your organisation engage with issuers/borrowers?

	(2) Corporate
(A) At the pre-issuance/pre-deal stage	
(B) At the pre-investment stage	
(C) During the holding period	
(D) At the refinancing stage	
(E) When issuers/borrowers default	

Indicator						PRI Principle
FI 22.1	PLUS	FI 22	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging with issuers/borrowers	2

Describe your approach to engagement.

Engagement approach per fixed income asset type or general description for all your fixed income engagement:

(A) Description of engagement approach for all of our fixed income

Today, our « boutique » asset management status and our intermediate size allow us to be flexible and promptly adapt our investment policy. However, despite our ability to react quickly and frequently meet with the management, as we invest in debt and not shares, we have less leverage to prompt companies to change than shareholders. Moreover, emerging markets are not very mature regarding ESG issues compared to developed countries. All these reasons led us to decide to work with a collaborative initiative, Climate Action 100+, and an external service provider, Sustainalytics, to help us increase our impact and support us in this engagement policy.

1) Engaging with Climate Action 100+

In June 2020, IVO Capital Partners decided to join the investor-led initiative Climate Action 100+, launched in December 2017 during the One Planet Summit and considered one of the most relevant initiatives to address the challenges of climate change. Climate Action 100+ aims to ensure the world's largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters take necessary action to

fight climate change. (response continued in row below)

Among these companies are 100 'systemically important emitters', accounting for two-thirds of annual global industrial emissions, alongside more than 60 others with significant opportunity to drive the clean energy transition. Alongside the 450 investors involved in the initiative with more than USD\$40 trillion in assets under management, IVO Capital Partners supports the sign-on statement and asks companies to strengthen their governance on climate change, reduce their greenhouse gas emissions and reinforce their disclosure of climate-related financial information. IVO Capital Partners is an active member of the coalitions of investors engaged with the following companies: Sasol, Vale SA, AES and Suzano.

#### 2) Sustainalytics support

We chose Sustainalytics because the company has more than 25 years of experience in the development and redefinition of a transparent engagement model based on international conventions and norms. Its 55 clients engaged worldwide manage more than 1.8 trillion in assets. Its team include 21 engagement professionals in Europe and North America, helped by more than 120 analysts. Sustainalytics engages in a constructive dialogue with the companies most exposed to ESG risks in order to help them manage these risks better and implement good practices.

IVO Capital Partners decided to subscribe to the Sustainalytics engagement service focused on material risks, i.e. **(response continued in row below)** 

ESG risks to which companies are most exposed and which can have a significant impact on their financial performance. Sustainalytics engages in a constructive dialogue with the companies most exposed to ESG risks in order to help them better manage these risks and implement best practices. The aim of this engagement service is to help investors protect their long-term value by engaging with high-risk companies on their financially material ESG risks. Sustainalytics provides collaborative and constructive engagement to help the high-risk companies in our portfolios better identify, understand and manage their ESG risks. The goal is to address their ESG risk management gaps so that the target company can improve its ESG performance. (D) Description of engagement approach for our corporate fixed income

Today, our « boutique » asset management status and our intermediate size allow us to be flexible and promptly adapt our investment policy. However, despite our ability to react quickly and frequently meet with the management, as we invest in debt and not shares, we have less leverage to prompt companies to change than shareholders. Moreover, emerging markets are not very mature regarding ESG issues compared to developed countries. All these reasons led us to decide to work with a collaborative initiative, Climate Action 100+, and an external service provider, Sustainalytics, to help us increase our impact and support us in this engagement policy.

1) Engaging with Climate Action 100+

In June 2020, IVO Capital Partners decided to join the investor-led initiative Climate Action 100+, launched in December 2017 during the One Planet Summit and considered one of the most relevant initiatives to address the challenges of climate change. Climate Action 100+ aims to ensure the world's largest

corporate greenhouse gas emitters take necessary action to fight climate change. (response continued in row below)

Among these companies are 100 'systemically important emitters', accounting for two-thirds of annual global industrial emissions, alongside more than 60 others with significant opportunity to drive the clean energy transition. Alongside the 450 investors involved in the initiative with more than USD\$40 trillion in assets under management, IVO Capital Partners supports the sign-on statement and asks companies to strengthen their governance on climate change, reduce their greenhouse gas emissions and reinforce their disclosure of climate-related financial information. IVO Capital Partners is an active member of the coalitions of investors engaged with the following companies: Sasol, Vale SA, AES and Suzano.

#### 2) Sustainalytics support

We chose Sustainalytics because the company has more than 25 years of experience in the development and redefinition of a transparent engagement model based on international conventions and norms. Its 55 clients engaged worldwide manage more than 1.8 trillion in assets. Its team include 21 engagement professionals in Europe and North America, helped by more than 120 analysts. Sustainalytics engages in a constructive dialogue with the companies most exposed to ESG risks in order to help them manage these risks better and implement good practices.

IVO Capital Partners decided to subscribe to the Sustainalytics engagement service focused on material risks, i.e. **(response continued in row below)** 

ESG risks to which companies are most exposed and which can have a significant impact on their financial performance. Sustainalytics engages in a constructive dialogue with the companies most exposed to ESG risks in order to help them better manage these risks and implement best practices. The aim of this engagement service is to help investors protect their long-term value by engaging with high-risk companies on their financially material ESG risks. Sustainalytics provides collaborative and constructive engagement to help the high-risk companies in our portfolios better identify, understand and manage their ESG risks. The goal is to address their ESG risk management gaps so that the target company can improve its ESG performance.